

Podcasts Track results — (theTuringTest) Charles University

Summary Statistics	
Run ID	theTuringTest2
Task	Summarization
Run type	automatic
Summarization model	Linguistic model; Vocabulary resources; Transfer learning or other pre-trained model; Other
Summarization method	Abstractive
Number of summaries	193

Overall measures	
Summaries rated "excellent"	0 (0.0%)
Summaries rated "good"	2 (1.0%)
Summaries rated "fair"	31 (16.1%)
Summaries rated "bad"	159 (82.4%)

Qualitative judgments	
Q1: Does the summary include names of the main people (hosts, guests, characters) involved or mentioned in the podcast?	28.5%
Q2: Does the summary give any additional information about the people mentioned (such as their job titles, biographies, personal background, etc)?	15.5%
Q3: Does the summary include the main topic(s) of the podcast?	31.1%
Q4: Does the summary tell you anything about the format of the podcast; e.g. whether it's an interview, whether it's a chat between friends, a monologue, etc?	9.8%
Q5: Does the summary give you more context on the title of the podcast?	23.8%
Q6: Does the summary contain redundant information?	43.5%
Q7: Is the summary written in good English?	4.7%
Q8: Are the start and end of the summary good sentence and paragraph start and end points?	3.6%
Q9: Does the audio clip give a sense of what the podcast sounds like?	-6.7%

