

Podcasts Track results — (theTuringTest) Charles University

Summary Statistics	
Run ID	theTuringTest1
Task	Summarization
Run type	automatic
Summarization model	Linguistic model; Vocabulary resources; Other
Summarization method	Extractive
Number of summaries	193

Overall measures	
Summaries rated "excellent"	0 (0.0%)
Summaries rated "good"	10 (5.2%)
Summaries rated "fair"	45 (23.3%)
Summaries rated "bad"	138 (71.5%)

Qualitative judgments	
Q1: Does the summary include names of the main people (hosts, guests, characters) involved or mentioned in the podcast?	26.9%
Q2: Does the summary give any additional information about the people mentioned (such as their job titles, biographies, personal background, etc)?	17.1%
Q3: Does the summary include the main topic(s) of the podcast?	45.6%
Q4: Does the summary tell you anything about the format of the podcast; e.g. whether it's an interview, whether it's a chat between friends, a monologue, etc?	14.5%
Q5: Does the summary give you more context on the title of the podcast?	43.0%
Q6: Does the summary contain redundant information?	59.6%
Q7: Is the summary written in good English?	20.2%
Q8: Are the start and end of the summary good sentence and paragraph start and end points?	5.7%
Q9: Does the audio clip give a sense of what the podcast sounds like?	-14.0%

