

Podcasts Track results — (Webis) Webis@Halle, Leipzig, Weimar

| Summary Statistics | |
|----------------------|--|
| Run ID | Webis_pc_abstr |
| Task | Summarization |
| Run type | automatic |
| Summarization model | Supervised neural or deep learning model; Transfer learning or other pre-trained model |
| Summarization method | Abstractive |
| Number of summaries | 193 |

| Overall measures | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|
| Summaries rated "excellent" | 0 (0.0%) |
| Summaries rated "good" | 6 (3.1%) |
| Summaries rated "fair" | 33 (17.1%) |
| Summaries rated "bad" | 154 (79.8%) |

| Qualitative judgments | |
|--|-------|
| Q1: Does the summary include names of the main people (hosts, guests, characters) involved or mentioned in the podcast? | 13.0% |
| Q2: Does the summary give any additional information about the people mentioned (such as their job titles, biographies, personal background, etc)? | 7.8% |
| Q3: Does the summary include the main topic(s) of the podcast? | 29.5% |
| Q4: Does the summary tell you anything about the format of the podcast; e.g. whether it's an interview, whether it's a chat between friends, a monologue, etc? | 13.0% |
| Q5: Does the summary give you more context on the title of the podcast? | 28.5% |
| Q6: Does the summary contain redundant information? | 40.4% |
| Q7: Is the summary written in good English? | 29.5% |
| Q8: Are the start and end of the summary good sentence and paragraph start and end points? | 26.4% |
| Q9: Does the audio clip give a sense of what the podcast sounds like? | 88.1% |

