

Podcasts Track results — (Unicamp) State University of Campinas

Summary Statistics	
Run ID	Unicamp1
Task	Summarization
Run type	automatic
Summarization model	Supervised neural or deep learning model; Transfer learning or other pre-trained model
Summarization method	Abstractive
Number of summaries	193

Overall measures	
Summaries rated "excellent"	14 (7.3%)
Summaries rated "good"	40 (20.7%)
Summaries rated "fair"	65 (33.7%)
Summaries rated "bad"	74 (38.3%)

Qualitative judgments	
Q1: Does the summary include names of the main people (hosts, guests, characters) involved or mentioned in the podcast?	42.0%
Q2: Does the summary give any additional information about the people mentioned (such as their job titles, biographies, personal background, etc)?	32.1%
Q3: Does the summary include the main topic(s) of the podcast?	63.2%
Q4: Does the summary tell you anything about the format of the podcast; e.g. whether it's an interview, whether it's a chat between friends, a monologue, etc?	48.7%
Q5: Does the summary give you more context on the title of the podcast?	47.2%
Q6: Does the summary contain redundant information?	24.9%
Q7: Is the summary written in good English?	78.8%
Q8: Are the start and end of the summary good sentence and paragraph start and end points?	58.5%
Q9: Does the audio clip give a sense of what the podcast sounds like?	-196.9%

