Podcasts Track results — (PoliTO) Politecnico di Torino

| Summary Statistics	
Run ID	PoliTO_50_32-128
Task	Summarization
Run type	automatic
Summarization model	Supervised neural or deep learning model; Transfer learning or other pre-trained model
Summarization method	Abstractive
Number of summaries	193

Overall measures	
Summaries rated "excellent"	9 (4.7%)
Summaries rated "good"	35 (18.1%)
Summaries rated "fair"	69 (35.8%)
Summaries rated "bad"	80 (41.5%)

Qualitative judgments	
Q1: Does the summary include names of the main people (hosts, guests, characters) involved or mentioned in the podcast?	31.6%
Q2: Does the summary give any additional information about the people mentioned (such as their job titles, biographies, personal background, etc)?	22.8%
Q3: Does the summary include the main topic(s) of the podcast?	60.6%
Q4: Does the summary tell you anything about the format of the podcast; e.g. whether it's an interview, whether it's a chat between friends, a monologue, etc?	43.0%
Q5: Does the summary give you mode context on the title of the podcast?	49.2%
Q6: Does the summary contain redundant information?	19.2%
Q7: Is the summary written in good English?	79.3%
Q8: Are the start and end of the summary good sentence and paragraph start and end points?	60.6%
Q9: Does the audio clip give a sense of what the podcast sounds like?	83.4%

Per-topic difference in rating from 1-minute baseline