

Podcasts Track results — (PoliTO) Politecnico di Torino

| Summary Statistics | |
|----------------------|--|
| Run ID | PoliTO_100_32-128 |
| Task | Summarization |
| Run type | automatic |
| Summarization model | Supervised neural or deep learning model; Transfer learning or other pre-trained model |
| Summarization method | Abstractive |
| Number of summaries | 193 |

| Overall measures | |
|-----------------------------|------------|
| Summaries rated "excellent" | 12 (6.2%) |
| Summaries rated "good" | 38 (19.7%) |
| Summaries rated "fair" | 65 (33.7%) |
| Summaries rated "bad" | 78 (40.4%) |

| Qualitative judgments | |
|--|-------|
| Q1: Does the summary include names of the main people (hosts, guests, characters) involved or mentioned in the podcast? | 32.6% |
| Q2: Does the summary give any additional information about the people mentioned (such as their job titles, biographies, personal background, etc)? | 24.4% |
| Q3: Does the summary include the main topic(s) of the podcast? | 60.6% |
| Q4: Does the summary tell you anything about the format of the podcast; e.g. whether it's an interview, whether it's a chat between friends, a monologue, etc? | 44.6% |
| Q5: Does the summary give you more context on the title of the podcast? | 49.7% |
| Q6: Does the summary contain redundant information? | 17.6% |
| Q7: Is the summary written in good English? | 76.7% |
| Q8: Are the start and end of the summary good sentence and paragraph start and end points? | 60.1% |
| Q9: Does the audio clip give a sense of what the podcast sounds like? | 83.9% |

