

Summary Statistics	
Run ID	udel_wang_zheng2
Task	Summarization
Run type	automatic
Summarization model	Supervised neural or deep learning model; Transfer learning or other pre-trained model
Summarization method	Abstractive
Number of summaries	179

Overall measures	
Summaries rated "excellent"	7 (3.9%)
Summaries rated "good"	21 (11.7%)
Summaries rated "fair"	66 (36.9%)
Summaries rated "bad"	85 (47.5%)

ROUGE score compared to episode description	
ROUGE_L P score	0.1844 (95%-conf.int. 0.17731 - 0.19184)
ROUGE_L R score	0.1390 (95%-conf.int. 0.13357 - 0.14484)
ROUGE_L F score	0.1329 (95%-conf.int. 0.12879 - 0.13680)

Qualitative judgments	
Q1: Does the summary include names of the main people (hosts, guests, characters) involved or mentioned in the podcast?	26.3%
Q2: Does the summary give any additional information about the people mentioned (such as their job titles, biographies, personal background, etc)?	18.4%
Q3: Does the summary include the main topic(s) of the podcast?	49.7%
Q4: Does the summary tell you anything about the format of the podcast; e.g. whether it's an interview, whether it's a chat between friends, a monologue, etc?	50.3%
Q5: Does the summary give you more context on the title of the podcast?	42.5%
Q6: Does the summary contain redundant information?	7.3%
Q7: Is the summary written in good English?	73.7%
Q8: Are the start and end of the summary good sentence and paragraph start and end points?	54.7%

