

Podcasts Track results — (UoGTr) University of Glasgow

Summary Statistics	
Run ID	2306987O_extabs_run3
Task	Summarization
Run type	automatic
Summarization model	Transfer learning or other pre-trained model
Summarization method	Other
Number of summaries	179

Overall measures	
Summaries rated "excellent"	8 (4.5%)
Summaries rated "good"	14 (7.8%)
Summaries rated "fair"	84 (46.9%)
Summaries rated "bad"	73 (40.8%)

ROUGE score compared to episode description	
ROUGE_L P score	0.2199 (95%-conf.int. 0.21075 - 0.23002)
ROUGE_L R score	0.1470 (95%-conf.int. 0.14002 - 0.15417)
ROUGE_L F score	0.1377 (95%-conf.int. 0.13292 - 0.14246)

Qualitative judgments	
Q1: Does the summary include names of the main people (hosts, guests, characters) involved or mentioned in the podcast?	26.3%
Q2: Does the summary give any additional information about the people mentioned (such as their job titles, biographies, personal background, etc)?	15.6%
Q3: Does the summary include the main topic(s) of the podcast?	49.7%
Q4: Does the summary tell you anything about the format of the podcast; e.g. whether it's an interview, whether it's a chat between friends, a monologue, etc?	43.6%
Q5: Does the summary give you more context on the title of the podcast?	46.4%
Q6: Does the summary contain redundant information?	7.3%
Q7: Is the summary written in good English?	84.4%
Q8: Are the start and end of the summary good sentence and paragraph start and end points?	55.3%

