

Podcasts Track results — (UoGTr) University of Glasgow

Summary Statistics	
Run ID	2306987O_extabs_run2
Task	Summarization
Run type	automatic
Summarization model	Transfer learning or other pre-trained model
Summarization method	Other
Number of summaries	179

Overall measures	
Summaries rated "excellent"	13 (7.3%)
Summaries rated "good"	29 (16.2%)
Summaries rated "fair"	68 (38.0%)
Summaries rated "bad"	69 (38.5%)

ROUGE score compared to episode description	
ROUGE_L P score	0.2371 (95%-conf.int. 0.22630 - 0.24793)
ROUGE_L R score	0.1666 (95%-conf.int. 0.15850 - 0.17534)
ROUGE_L F score	0.1540 (95%-conf.int. 0.14772 - 0.16077)

Qualitative judgments	
Q1: Does the summary include names of the main people (hosts, guests, characters) involved or mentioned in the podcast?	47.5%
Q2: Does the summary give any additional information about the people mentioned (such as their job titles, biographies, personal background, etc)?	26.3%
Q3: Does the summary include the main topic(s) of the podcast?	60.9%
Q4: Does the summary tell you anything about the format of the podcast; e.g. whether it's an interview, whether it's a chat between friends, a monologue, etc?	52.5%
Q5: Does the summary give you more context on the title of the podcast?	45.8%
Q6: Does the summary contain redundant information?	13.4%
Q7: Is the summary written in good English?	75.4%
Q8: Are the start and end of the summary good sentence and paragraph start and end points?	50.8%

