

Podcasts Track results — (UoGTr) University of Glasgow

---

Summary Statistics	
Run ID	2306987O_abs_run1
Task	Summarization
Run type	automatic
Summarization model	Transfer learning or other pre-trained model
Summarization method	Abstractive
Number of summaries	179

Overall measures	
Summaries rated "excellent"	12 (6.7%)
Summaries rated "good"	27 (15.1%)
Summaries rated "fair"	78 (43.6%)
Summaries rated "bad"	62 (34.6%)

ROUGE score compared to episode description	
ROUGE_L P score	0.2083 (95%-conf.int. 0.20006 - 0.21765)
ROUGE_L R score	0.1560 (95%-conf.int. 0.14862 - 0.16417)
ROUGE_L F score	0.1427 (95%-conf.int. 0.13781 - 0.14789)

Qualitative judgments	
Q1: Does the summary include names of the main people (hosts, guests, characters) involved or mentioned in the podcast?	34.6%
Q2: Does the summary give any additional information about the people mentioned (such as their job titles, biographies, personal background, etc)?	18.4%
Q3: Does the summary include the main topic(s) of the podcast?	55.9%
Q4: Does the summary tell you anything about the format of the podcast; e.g. whether it's an interview, whether it's a chat between friends, a monologue, etc?	53.6%
Q5: Does the summary give you more context on the title of the podcast?	53.1%
Q6: Does the summary contain redundant information?	3.9%
Q7: Is the summary written in good English?	84.9%
Q8: Are the start and end of the summary good sentence and paragraph start and end points?	59.2%

