
Phase 1: Each group submitted a set of 5 documents per topic to be used as relevance feedback input in Phase 2 by 3 to 5 groups. One or two sets submitted. Evaluation output includes number of relevant documents in set, and how well other groups did on this set compared to the other sets that that group ran (each group ran 7 to 8 Phase 1 sets). Comparison numbers totaled among the collection and evaluation measures used in Phase 2. Total score = B / (B + W) where B is the total number of runs/measures this set did better than, and W is the number this set did worse on.


Phase 1 Summary Statistics					
RF Input Set		ilps.1			
Total Num Rel in Set		87			
Measure	Coll	Num Worse Than	Num Better Than		
MAP(all)	Full	3	4		
P(10)(all)	Full	1	6		
statMAP (NEU) (all)	В	2	23		
eMAP (UMass) (all)	В	5	20		
Measure			Score		
Score (all)			0.8281		
Score (average over q)			0.5866		



Score Per Topic Diff from Median, ilps.1

Phase 1: Each group submitted a set of 5 documents per topic to be used as relevance feedback input in Phase 2 by 3 to 5 groups. One or two sets submitted. Evaluation output includes number of relevant documents in set, and how well other groups did on this set compared to the other sets that that group ran (each group ran 7 to 8 Phase 1 sets). Comparison numbers totaled among the collection and evaluation measures used in Phase 2. Total score = B / (B + W) where B is the total number of runs/measures this set did better than, and W is the number this set did worse on.

Phase 1 Summary Statistics					
RF Input Set		ilps.2			
Total Num Rel in Set		92			
Measure	Coll	Num Worse Than	Num Better Than		
MAP(all)	Full	6	1		
P(10)(all)	Full	2	5		
statMAP (NEU) (all)	В	13	6		
eMAP (UMass) (all)	В	16	3		
Measure			Score		
Score (all)			0.2885		
Score (average over q)			0.5052		





Score Per Topic Diff from Median, ilps.2


Phase 2: Each group ran with 7 to 8 different relevance feedback input documents, and ran a base case with no relevance feedback. Evaluated with two measures. If the group ran on the full collection, the measures were MAP and P(10). If the group ran on the B subset, the measures were statAP and eMAP (Million Query style evaluation).

In the Per topic Median Difference graphs, the median used is the global median measure (over all Phase 1 sets and base case) for each topic. Thus it remains constant between graphs.


Phase 2 Run Summary Statistics					
Document Collection: A (Full English)					
Run ID	MAP	P(10)			
IlpsRF.base	0.0639	0.0959			
IlpsRF.QUT.1	0.0688	0.1286			
IlpsRF.Sab.1	0.0581	0.0939			
IlpsRF.WatS.1	0.0915	0.2878			
IlpsRF.fub.1	0.0792	0.1694			
IlpsRF.ilps.1	0.0705	0.2020			
IlpsRF.ilps.2	0.0680	0.1898			
IlpsRF.twen.1	0.0776	0.1837			
IlpsRF.twen.2	0.0694	0.1816			













0.5 Difference


MAP Per Topic Median Diff, IlpsRF.WatS.1




MAP Per Topic Median Diff, IlpsRF.ilps.1



MAP Per Topic Median Diff, IlpsRF.ilps.2





MAP Per Topic Median Diff, IlpsRF.twen.1