


Phase 1: Each group submitted a set of 5 documents per topic to be used as relevance feedback input in Phase 2 by 3 to 5 groups. One or two sets submitted. Evaluation output includes number of relevant documents in set, and how well other groups did on this set compared to the other sets that that group ran (each group ran 7 to 8 Phase 1 sets). Comparison numbers totaled among the collection and evaluation measures used in Phase 2. Total score = $B / (B + W)$ where B is the total number of runs/measures this set did better than, and W is the number this set did worse on.


Phase 1: Each group submitted a set of 5 documents per topic to be used as relevance feedback input in Phase 2 by 3 to 5 groups. One or two sets submitted. Evaluation output includes number of relevant documents in set, and how well other groups did on this set compared to the other sets that that group ran (each group ran 7 to 8 Phase 1 sets). Comparison numbers totaled among the collection and evaluation measures used in Phase 2. Total score = $B / (B + W)$ where B is the total number of runs/measures this set did better than, and W is the number this set did worse on.

Phase 1 Summary Statistics			
RF Input Set	WatS.1		
Total Num Rel in Set	110		
Measure	Coll	Num Worse Than	Num Better Than
MAP(all)	Full	1	13
P(10)(all)	Full	0	13
statMAP (NEU) (all)	B	8	12
eMAP (UMass) (all)	B	9	11
Measure	Score		
Score (all)	0.7313		
Score (average over q)	0.5188		

Phase 1 Summary Statistics			
RF Input Set	WatS.2		
Total Num Rel in Set	148		
Measure	Coll	Num Worse Than	Num Better Than
MAP(all)	Full	1	20
P(10)(all)	Full	2	18
statMAP (NEU) (all)	B	0	0
eMAP (UMass) (all)	B	0	0
Measure	Score		
Score (all)	0.9268		
Score (average over q)	0.5482		



Score Per Topic Diff from Median, WatS.1










Score Per Topic Diff from Median, WatS.2

Phase 2: Each group ran with 7 to 8 different relevance feedback input documents, and ran a base case with no relevance feedback. Evaluated with two measures. If the group ran on the full collection, the measures were MAP and P(10). If the group ran on the B subset, the measures were statAP and eMAP (Million Query style evaluation).


In the Per topic Median Difference graphs, the median used is the global median measure (over all Phase 1 sets and base case) for each topic. Thus it remains constant between graphs.

Phase 2 Run Summary Statistics		
Document Collection : A (Full English)		
Run ID	MAP	P(10)
WatS.base	0.0679	0.1184
WatS.SIEL.1	0.0881	0.2571
WatS.Sab.1	0.0838	0.2592
WatS.UCSC.1	0.1151	0.2796
WatS.WatS.1	0.1262	0.3061
WatS.WatS.2	0.1389	0.3061
WatS.fub.1	0.1006	0.2327
WatS.twen.1	0.0920	0.2224
WatS.twen.2	0.0968	0.2633






MAP Per Topic Median Diff, WatS.fub.1



MAP Per Topic Median Diff, WatS.twen.1



MAP Per Topic Median Diff, WatS.twen.2